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The crystal structure of 1,8-diazacyclotetradecane-2,9-dione has been solved by direct methods using X-ray intensity data collected with an automatic diffractometer. The triclinic unit cell contains 4 molecules located on symmetry centers and has the dimensions $a=15.469, b=8.985, c=8.918 \AA$, $\alpha=89^{\circ} 23^{\prime}, \beta=88^{\circ} 32^{\prime}, \gamma=91^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$. The molecules are linked together by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonds into sheets parallel to the (100) plane. Continuous streaks and 'extra' reflections are observed along reciprocal lattice rows parallel to $\mathbf{a}^{*}$. A qualitative interpretation of these phenomena is given in terms of a partially ordered structure.

## Introduction

This investigation is the third in a series of X-ray crystallographic studies of oligomeric models of polyamides. The previous studies were concerned with the cyclic monomer and dimer of nylon 66, poly(hexamethylene adipamide) (Northolt \& Alexander, 1968; Northolt, 1970). In the present paper we report the crystallographic investigation of the cyclic dimer, $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$, of nylon 6 (polycaproamide) with the formal configuration


The preparation of this oligomer has been described by Zahn \& Determann (1957).

## Experimental

Crystals were grown from a solution of acetonitrile and dimethylformamide. Weissenberg and precession photographs showed the crystals to be triclinic and gave preliminary unit-cell constants that were subsequently refined by the method of least squares using $2 \theta$ angles measured on a diffractometer. The cell constants and other crystallographic data are listed in Table 1. The quality of the crystals was rather poor as judged from the appearance of the X-ray photographs. They were composed of several slightly displaced blocks that gave the reflection spots on the film an uneven appearance.

[^0]All the crystals examined gave diffraction patterns showing various degrees of continuous streaking along reciprocal-lattice rows and 'extra' reflections at half orders, $h=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{2}$, etc., between reciprocal-lattice points of the regular lattice. Both phenomena occur along lattice rows parallel to $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ for which $k+l$ is odd. Fig. 1 is a photograph exhibiting these effects to a pronounced degree. Visual examination of X-ray photographs taken from many crystals showed that as the intensity of the extra spots increased, the streaking was also enhanced. These effects occurred at the expense of the intensity of the regular reflections on lattice rows with $k+l$ odd, indicating a tendency toward body centering in a doubled cell with dimensions $a^{\prime}=2 a, b^{\prime}=b$, and $c^{\prime}=c$. However, for all the crystals examined, the relative intensities of the reflections on lattice rows parallel to $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ with $k+l$ even remained constant. The following systematic absences were observed: $h 00$ for $h$ odd with the exception of reflection $900,0 k 0$ for $k$ odd, and $00 l$ for $l$ odd.

Table 1. Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M.W. 226.32, m.p. } 348^{\circ} \\
& \text { Space group } P \overline{1} \\
& a=15 \cdot 469(3) \AA \\
& b=8 \cdot 985(2) \\
& c \\
& V=1238 \cdot 318(2) \\
& \AA_{m}=1 \cdot 22 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \\
& D_{x}=1 \cdot 21 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \\
& Z=89^{\circ} 23^{\prime}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \\
& Z(000)=496
\end{aligned}
$$

Absorption coefficient for $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha \mathrm{X}$-rays $(\lambda=1.5418 \AA)$ :

$$
\mu=6 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

Habit: colorless, rectangular-shaped plates
Since crystals without any degree of streaking could not be found, a crystal with dimensions $0.27 \times 0.18 \times$ 0.14 mm showing only minimal (very weak) streaking


Fig. 1. Precession photograph of the $h k 1$ net plane from a crystal of $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$ showing heavy streaking and extra reflections $(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$, Ni -filtered, $40 \mathrm{kV}-20 \mathrm{~mA}, 17 \mathrm{hr}$ ).
and extra reflections was selected for the data collection. The crystal was mounted on a General Electric automatic diffractometer with the $b$ axis parallel to the goniometer axis. Nickel-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation together with pulse-height discrimination was used in conjunction with the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique. The maximum value of $(\sin \theta) / \lambda$ was $0.5313 \AA^{-1}$. However, when it became evident that a precise structure determination could not be expected, the maximum value of $(\sin \theta) / \lambda$ in
the refinement was limited to $0.47 \AA^{-1}$ yielding 2153 independent reflections, of which 194 were classed as unobserved. Details of the procedures followed for data gathering and processing to observed and unobserved structure factors and a description of the weighting scheme have been presented earlier (Northolt \& Alexander, 1968). The Fourier computations and leastsquares refinement were performed using the $X$-ray 67 Programs System (Stewart \& High, 1967).

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors $(\times 10)$


























Table 2 (cont.)
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## Solution and refinement

Preliminary statistics based on the $N(z)$ test (Howells, Phillips \& Rogers, 1950) for the $h k 0, h 0 l, 0 k l$ and $h k l$ data sets pointed strongly to the space group $P \overline{\mathrm{I}}$. The structure was solved with the symbolic-addition method
for centrosymmetric crystals (Hauptman \& Karle, 1953) using a program written by S. R. Hall and adapted for the CDC 1604 computer by E. N. Maslen. The $|E|$ statistics together with the corresponding theoretical values (in parentheses) are: $\langle | E\rangle=0.806$ ( 0.798 ), $\left.\left.\langle | E\right|^{2}\right\rangle=1.056$ (1.000), $\langle | E^{2}-1| \rangle=1.019$ (0.968); re-
flections with $|E|>3.0$ comprised $0.45 \%$ ( $0.3 \%$ ), reflections with $|E|>2.0$ comprised $5.4 \%$ (5.0\%), and reflections with $|E|>1$ comprised $31 \cdot 9 \%(32 \cdot 0 \%)$ of the total. The atomic scattering factors used in calculations were those of Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry \& Veenendaal (1955).
From precession photographs it was observed and subsequently confirmed by the diffractometrically collected data that the intensities $I(0 \mathrm{kl})$ were approximately equal to $I(0 k \bar{l})$. The quasi-equality and the observed non-space-group absences along $\mathbf{b}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ led to the assumption that the [100] projection of the unit cell had pseudo pgg symmetry. The symmetry of the plane group results in phase relations among the 0 kl reflections. This additional information was employed in a manual symbolic-addition procedure applied to the 210 reflections with $|E|>1 \cdot 9$, using the results of a search carried out by the program for sets of reflections that satisfy the $\Sigma_{2}$ relationship. Three origin-defining reflections were selected and four additional reflections were assigned symbols indicative of unknown phases. They are:

| $h k l$ | $\|E\|$ | Phase or symbol |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 13 | 4 | 0 | 4.008 |
| $\frac{3}{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 13 | 1 | 2 | 3.262 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 4.167 |
| $\overline{8}$ | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 5 | 1 | 3.167 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2.757 |
| 2.457 | $b$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $d$ |

The results of the manual symbolic addition procedure strongly suggested the relations $c=\pi, c-b+d=0$ and $a+b=\pi$. When the symbol $d$ is disregarded there are four possible sets of phases expressed in terms of the symbols $a$ and $b$.

A starting set of phases with the values 0 and $\pi$ assigned respectively to $a$ and $b$ was extended and refined in ten cycles as described by Oh \& Maslen (1968) using the tangent formula. At the end of this process the phases of 339 reflections with $|E| \geq 1.65$ had been determined and an $E$ Fourier synthesis was calculated. It revealed all the heavy atoms as peaks that were well above the noise level. A structure-factor calculation incorporating a uniform isotropic temperature factor yielded an $R$ value of $28 \%$ and confirmed the correctness of the structure as found from the $E$ map.

The asymmetric unit consists of four half molecules. Molecules 1 and 2 are located in the layer at $x=\frac{1}{2}$ on the symmetry centers ( $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ ) and ( $\left(\frac{1}{2} 00\right.$ ) respectively. Molecules 3 and 4 in the layer at $x=0$ are located on the symmetry centers ( $0 \frac{1}{2} 0$ ) and ( $00 \frac{1}{2}$ ) respectively. Fig. 2 shows projections of the two layers along the $a$ axis. Figs. 3 and 4 show the projections along the $b$ and $c$ axes respectively.
Three cycles of isotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement yielded $R$ and $w R$ values of 17.2 and $16.5 \%$ respectively. At this stage further refinement could not
be obtained, and it was obvious that a closer look at the disorder phenomena was required.

## Interpretation of the disorder phenomena

In this section only a qualitative interpretation of the observed order-disorder phenomena will be presented


Fig. 2. Hydrogen bonding in (a) layer $B$ located at $x=\frac{1}{2}$. Projection is parallel to the $a$ axis. (b) Layer $A$ located at $x=0$. Projection is parallel to the $a$ axis.
since a quantitative approach is beyond the scope of this investigation. The appearance of (1) maxima at half-integral values of $h$ and (2) continuous streaking along row lines parallel to $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ indicate a partially ordered structure. The structure deduced by the direct phasing method is the fully ordered arrangement, which consists of two kinds of layers, $A$ and $B$, parallel to the (100) planes, as shown in Fig. 2. The stacking of layers along the $a$ axis, therefore, is $A B A B A B \ldots$
In the partially ordered structure the same sequence of layers $A$ and $B$ exists except for a planar disordering parallel to the (100) planes described by the translation vector $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}$. For $a^{*}$ lattice rows with $k+l$ even, this kind of stacking fault does not affect the intensities nor produce streaking. In addition there is a tendency toward body centering, as was noted earlier for crystals showing heavy streaking and strong extra spots. This can be explained by interpreting the discrete intensity pattern on the basis of the doubled unit-cell with dimensions $a^{\prime}=2 a, b^{\prime}=b$ and $c^{\prime}=c$. In this doubled cell a stacking of layers with body centering, which we
shall designate mode I , is the following:
(1) A layer $A$ at $x^{\prime}=0$
(2) A layer $B$ at $x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4}$
(3) A layer $A$ at $x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}$ translated by the vector $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}$
(4) A layer $B$ at $x^{\prime}=\frac{3}{4}$ translated by the vector $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}$.
Comparison of structure factors for the mode I sequence of layers with the estimated intensities of the extra reflections showed reasonable qualitative agreement. The pure form of this kind of layer stacking, $A B A_{T} B_{T} A B A_{T} B_{T} \ldots$, where the subscript $T$ denotes a translated layer, has not been observed in any of the crystals examined. The occurrence of extra reflections at half orders along $a^{*}$ rows has invariably been accompanied by streaking, and in addition the reflections at integral values of $h$ (or $h^{\prime}$ even) with $k+l$ odd have never faded out completely.

In principle, the doubling of the $a$ cell dimension coupled with disorder streaking could also be caused

Table 3. Fractional positional coordinates and isotropic temperature factors with e.s.d.'s in parentheses
The first digit of the labeling number indicates the molecule.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molecule 1 |  |  |  |  |
| O(1) | $0 \cdot 3879$ (5) | $0 \cdot 6202$ (9) | $0 \cdot 2532$ (9) | $5 \cdot 3$ (2) $\AA^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 4273$ (6) | 0.7669 (11) | $0 \cdot 4505$ (10) | $3 \cdot 9$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0 \cdot 3764$ (8) | 0.6588 (14) | $0 \cdot 3914$ (12) | $4 \cdot 4$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0 \cdot 3077$ (8) | 0.5861 (14) | 0.4891 (13) | $4 \cdot 6$ (3) |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 3115$ (8) | 0.4114 (14) | $0 \cdot 4919$ (13) | $4 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 3980$ (8) | $0 \cdot 3714$ (13) | 0.5673 (13) | $4 \cdot 4$ (3) |
| C(15) | $0 \cdot 4115$ (7) | $0 \cdot 2050$ (13) | 0.5620 (12) | $4 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| C(16) | $0 \cdot 5002$ (7) | $0 \cdot 1595$ (14) | $0 \cdot 6312$ (12) | $4 \cdot 9$ (3) |
| Molecule 2 |  |  |  |  |
| O(2) | $0 \cdot 3806$ (5) | -0.1363 (9) | -0.2477 (9) | $5 \cdot 7$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 4225$ (7) | -0.2711 (12) | -0.0504 (11) | 5.9 (3) |
| C(21) | $0 \cdot 3712$ (9) | -0.1721 (16) | -0.1014 (14) | $5 \cdot 7$ (4) |
| C(22) | $0 \cdot 3023$ (8) | -0.1019 (14) | -0.0089 (13) | $5 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| C(23) | $0 \cdot 3132$ (8) | -0.0709 (14) | -0.0057 (13) | $4 \cdot 9$ (3) |
| C(24) | 0.3973 (8) | $0 \cdot 1169$ (14) | $0 \cdot 0729$ (13) | $4 \cdot 9$ (3) |
| C(25) | $0 \cdot 4165$ (8) | $0 \cdot 2848$ (15) | $0 \cdot 0624$ (14) | $5 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| C(26) | $0 \cdot 5060$ (8) | $0 \cdot 3331$ (15) | $0 \cdot 1359$ (13) | $5 \cdot 7$ (3) |
| Molecule 3 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 1172$ (5) | 0.2578 (10) | $0 \cdot 1280$ (9) | $5 \cdot 6$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 0.0716 (6) | 0.4527 (11) | $0 \cdot 2697$ (10) | $4 \cdot 6$ (3) |
| C(31) | $0 \cdot 1255$ (8) | 0.3978 (14) | $0 \cdot 1589$ (14) | $5 \cdot 6$ (3) |
| C(32) | $0 \cdot 1927$ (8) | $0 \cdot 4982$ (15) | 0.0889 (13) | $4 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| C(33) | $0 \cdot 1882$ (8) | 0.4934 (15) | -0.0829 (13) | $5 \cdot 7$ (3) |
| C(34) | $0 \cdot 1046$ (9) | 0.5674 (16) | -0.1287 (14) | $5 \cdot 7$ (4) |
| C(35) | 0.0885 (8) | 0.5677 (15) | -0.2953 (14) | $5 \cdot 7$ (3) |
| C(36) | 0.0003 (7) | $0 \cdot 6320$ (14) | -0.3418 (13) | $5 \cdot 0$ (3) |
| Molecule 4 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0 \cdot 1139$ (5) | -0.2448 (10) | $0 \cdot 3621$ (9) | $5 \cdot 4$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $0 \cdot 0752$ (8) | -0.0512 (14) | $0 \cdot 2230$ (12) | $7 \cdot 8$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $0 \cdot 1249$ (9) | -0.1011 (16) | $0 \cdot 3288$ (14) | 6.4 (4) |
| C(42) | $0 \cdot 1955$ (8) | -0.0076 (14) | $0 \cdot 3997$ (13) | $5 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| C(43) | $0 \cdot 1864$ (8) | -0.0128 (15) | $0 \cdot 5732$ (14) | $5 \cdot 2$ (3) |
| C(44) | $0 \cdot 1038$ (8) | 0.0722 (14) | 0.6195 (13) | $4 \cdot 8$ (3) |
| C(45) | $0 \cdot 0854$ (8) | 0.0635 (15) | 0.7904 (14) | $5 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| C(46) | -0.0023 (8) | $0 \cdot 1342$ (14) | $0 \cdot 8405$ (13) | $5 \cdot 5$ (3) |

by admixture of the basic layer sequence ABABABAB... with three other possible stacking modes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { III } & A_{T_{T}} A_{T} B A_{T} A_{T} B \ldots \\
\text { II } & A B A_{T} B A B A_{T} B \ldots \\
\text { IV } & A B A_{T} A B_{T} A B A
\end{array}
$$

Mode II is enantiomorphic to mode I, $A B A_{T} B_{T} A B A_{T} B_{T} \ldots$, described in the preceding paragraph and as such would give identical diffraction effects. Modes III IV, on the other hand, would generate distinctive diffraction effects; however, calculated structure factors for both III and IV showed conspicuous disagreement with the visually estimated intensities of the reflections of half orders. Therefore, it was felt that any contribution of stacking sequences III and IV to the disordering must be very minor relative to the role played by the body centering modes I and II.

On the basis of the foregoing discussion it is proposed that a crystal of 1,8 -diazacyclotetradecane-2,9-dione contains domains with the fully ordered arrangement $A B A B A B A B \ldots$ as defined by the small unit cell, and domains with partial disorder arising principally from admixture of stacking modes I and II, as described above. Since no quantitative measurements were made of the intensities of the $a^{*}$ streaks and the reflections of half-integral $h$ indices, it is not possible to reach a conclusion concerning the relative frequencies of the layer pairs $A B$ and $A_{T} B_{T}$ in the disordered state. However, it was observed that, together with the tendency for body centering, the maxima of half-integral indices were as well defined as the reffections of the regular lattice. This indicates that the partial disorder has a tendency to degenerate into a superlattice with the sequence $A B A_{T} B_{T} A B A_{T} B_{T} \ldots$ or its enantiomorph $A B_{T} A_{T} B A B_{T} A_{T} B \ldots$.

Table 4. Bond distances and angles with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| Molecule | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 487$ (16) $\AA$ | $1-492$ (18) $\AA$ | $1 \cdot 475$ (17) $\AA$ | 1.511 (18) $\AA$ A |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1 \cdot 572$ (17) | $1 \cdot 557$ (18) | $1 \cdot 537$ (16) | $1 \cdot 550$ (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 565$ (17) | $1 \cdot 540$ (18) | $1 \cdot 536$ (19) | $1 \cdot 559$ (18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1 \cdot 517$ (17) | 1.529 (19) | $1 \cdot 513$ (17) | $1 \cdot 544$ (17) |
| C(5)-C(6) | $1 \cdot 583$ (16) | 1.596 (19) | $1 \cdot 563$ (18) | $1 \cdot 574$ (18) |
| C(6)-N | $1 \cdot 457$ (14) | $1 \cdot 457$ (16) | $1 \cdot 457$ (15) | $1 \cdot 461$ (17) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 349$ (15) | $1 \cdot 299$ (18) | $1 \cdot 383$ (16) | $1 \cdot 317$ (18) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 293$ (14) | $1 \cdot 343$ (16) | $1 \cdot 294$ (16) | $1 \cdot 327$ (16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 113 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ | 113 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ | 110 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ | 111 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 107 (1) | 110 (1) | 108 (1) | 108 (1) |
| C(3)-C(4)-C(5) | 111 (1) | 112 (1) | 115 (1) | 112 (1) |
| C(4)-C(5)-C(6) | 113 (1) | 113 (1) | 115 (1) | 114 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}$ | 110 (1) | 110 (1) | 110 (1) | 110 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118 (1) | 124 (1) | 118 (1) | 124 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}$ | 120 (1) | 117 (1) | 118 (1) | 115 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 121 (1) | 120 (1) | 123 (1) | 121 (1) |



Fig. 3. Projection of the structure parallel to the $b$ axis.

## Final refinement and discussion

As discussed in the preceding paragraph, the intensities of reflection with $k+l$ even are not affected by the degree of ordering in the structure. It seemed proper, therefore, to use only these reflections in an attempt at further refinement. Three cycles of isotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement gave, for 952 observed reflections with $k+l$ even, final values for $R$ and $w R$ of 14.2 and $13 \%$ respectively. A structure-factor calculation including all the observed reflections but employing the atomic parameters from this last refinement gave an $R$ value of $16.5 \%$, whereas the corresponding value for reflections with $k+l$ odd was $19 \%$. A difference map at this stage did not reveal the hydrogen atoms, and it was not deemed feasible to attempt further refinement including anisotropic temperature factors and the contributions of the hydrogen atoms. Table 2 lists the observed and calculated structure factors, Table 3 gives the atomic parameters, and Table 4 gives the bond angles and bond distances.
Contrary to the assumption made by Hermans $(1953,1956)$ on the basis of a kinetic analysis of the hydrolysis of this oligomer, the molecule does not have an intramolecular hydrogen bond. In the linear polymer of $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$ the conformation adopted is one that consists of planar chains of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups and amide groups (Holmes, Bunn \& Smith, 1955). The 14 -membered ring of the cyclic dimer resolves itself into two pairs of planar elements related by a symmetry center (Fig. 5). Here again, as in the cyclic monomer and dimer of nylon 66, it appears that the conformation of minimal potential energy is one that consists of a relatively small number of planar elements, formed by the inherently planar amide groups or planar sequences of methylene groups. More specifically, this shows up in
the resemblance of the box-like configuration of the cyclic monomer of nylon 66, a 14 -membered ring also, to the configuration of the $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$ molecule.
The plane containing the amide group ( $A$ ) is perpendicular to the average plane through the ring atoms $(M)$, as was found in the cyclic monomer and dimer of nylon 66 . However, in $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$ a perpendicular orientation of the plane through the atoms of the pentamethylene group ( $P$ ) with respect to plane $M$ would cause a large amount of strain in the ring. This strain is relieved and at the same time the planarity of the $P$ group is preserved by a $60^{\circ}$ rotation of the plane $P$ out of the perpendicular orientation. A complete analysis of the planar elements is given in Table 5.

Table 5. Analysis of the planar elements in the molecules
Labeling of the planes is as follows: $M$-plane through the ring atoms, $A$-plane through atoms of amide group, $P$-plane through atoms of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ group.
(a) Distances from least-squares plane in $\AA$

| Molecule No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atoms of plane $M$ |  |  |  |  |
| O | 1.71 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.68 |
| N | -0.34 | -0.33 | -0.34 | -0.33 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -0.27 | -0.25 | -0.29 | -0.27 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -0.29 | -0.32 | -0.27 | -0.33 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -0.20 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.23 |

Atoms of plane $A$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| N | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.02 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -0.02 | -0.02 | -0.01 | -0.02 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -0.02 | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.04 |



Fig.4. Projection of the structure parallel to the $c$ axis.

Table 5 (cont.)

| Atoms of plane $P$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| C(3) | -0.01 | $-0.02$ | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| C(4) | -0.06 | -0.08 | -0.05 | $-0.08$ |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 02$ | $0 \cdot 02$ | $-0.01$ | $-0.01$ |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 02$ | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 03$ | 0.04 |
| (b) Interplanar angles in degrees |  |  |  |  |
| Molecule No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $M \wedge A$ | 88 | 89 | 90 | 90 |
| $M \wedge P$ | 30 | 33 | 31 | 34 |
| $A \wedge P$ | 89 | 88 | 89 | 88 |

The conformations of a few 14-membered rings have been studied previously. In the molecules 1,8-diazacyclotetradecanehydrobromide (Dunitz \& Meyer, 1965) and 1,8-dihydroxy-1,8-diazacyclotetradecane (Brown, 1966) the stable ring conformation is based on the diamond lattice (Dunitz, 1968).* Both molecules described in these studies contain rings consisting exclusively of $s p^{3}$-hybridized atoms. For the cyclic monomer of nylon 66 (Northolt \& Alexander, 1968) and the cyclic dimer of nylon $6, \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{Cap}]_{2}$, it is sterically possible to construct a conformation that closely approximates the ring conformation based on the diamond lattice. However, neither of these molecules actually does adopt this ring conformation, and it may be noted that both have zigzag chain elements containing $s p^{2}$-hybridized atoms.

The configuration and the dimensions of the four molecules in the unit cell are the same within the precision of the experimental observations. This conclusion is derived from a consideration of the molecular dimensions, including the internal rotation angles listed in Table 6, and from the analysis of the planar elements in Table 5.

Table 6. Internal rotation angles in degrees

| Molecule No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N(1)C(1)C(2)-C(1)C(2)C(3) | 127 | 122 | 129 | 128 |
| C(1)C(2)C(3)-C(2)C(3)C(4) | 114 | 115 | 110 | 111 |
| C(2)C(3)C(4)-C(3)C(4)C(5) | 174 | 173 | 178 | 175 |
| C(3)C(4)C(5)-C(4)C(5)C(6) | 177 | 177 | 175 | 174 |
| C(4)C(5)CC(6)-C(5)C(6)N(1) | 120 | 114 | 120 | 116 |
| C(5)C(6)N(1)-C(6)N(1)C(1) | 111 | 115 | 111 | 110 |

Although the structure has triclinic symmetry, interesting pseudosymmetry operations exist between the molecules. An operation which brings molecule 2 into coincidence with molecule 1 is a glide plane parallel to (010) at $y=\frac{1}{4}$ with a translation component $\mathbf{c} / 2$. Molecules 3 and 4 are related by a glide plane parallel to (001) at $z=\frac{1}{4}$ with a translation component $\mathbf{b} / 2$. In addition there is a pseudo $4_{2}$ axis parallel to a located, for example, at $y=\frac{1}{4}$, which brings molecule 1 into coinci-

[^1]

Fig.5. Projection of the molecule on the least-squares plane through the atoms of the ring.
dence with 4 and molecule 2 into coincidence with 3. This pseudo-symmetry is preserved in the disordered state. For each pair of molecules related by the pseudo screw axis the dihedral angle between the average molecular planes is $89^{\circ}$. Because of the pseudosymmetry elements described above the following approximate relations between the coordinates of equivalent atoms in the four molecules exist:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
x, & y, & z \\
x, & \frac{1}{2}-y, & -\frac{1}{2}+z \\
\frac{1}{2}-x, & z, & -\frac{1}{2}+y \\
\frac{1}{2}-x, & -\frac{1}{2}+z, & 1-y
\end{array}
$$

Each molecule is linked by four hydrogen bonds to four other molecules, thereby forming sheets parallel to the (100) planes. In layer $A$ the lengths of the two independent hydrogen bonds are $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)=2.91$ and $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)=2.94 \AA$; in layer $B$ they are $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)=$ 2.90 and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)=2.92 \AA$.

The authors wish to thank Professor Helmut Zahn of the Technische Hochschule, Aachen, for supplying the compound and Professor P. M. de Wolff of the Technological University of Delft for valuable discussions. They are gratcful for the assistance of Dr Robert F. Stewart and Dr E. N. Maslen, who respectively adapted the $X$-ray 67 Programs System and S. Hall's symbolic addition program for use on the CDC 1604 computer at Mellon Institute.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the methanol complex of $3 \beta$-chloro- 5 -androsten- $17 \beta$-ol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{OCl} . \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ has been determined from three-dimensional X-ray data collected by the stationary-counter stationarycrystal technique and refined to a final reliability index of $R=9 \cdot 0 \%$ for 2456 observed reflections. Crystals formed in methanol are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}$, with cell constants $a=22 \cdot 565, b=$ $12.319, c=6.656 \AA$, and $\beta=95 \cdot 5^{\circ}$. The asymmetric unit consists of one methanol and two steroid molecules which are all joined by hydrogen bonds to form helices in the direction of the $c$ axis. The two steroid molecules in the asymmetric unit are related by a non-crystallographic, approximate twofold rotation axis.


## Introduction

Two features of the crystal and molecular structure of $3 \beta$-chloro- 5 -androsten-17 $\beta$-ol (Fig. 1) are of particular interest. First, it was desired to determine what, if any, distortion of the normal geometry of the steroid nucleus is caused by the presence of unsaturation at the $C(5)-C(6)$ bond. Previous crystal structure determinations of molecules possessing isolated double bonds at this position (Carlisle \& Crowfoot, 1945; Burki \& Nowacki, 1956; O'Donnell \& Ladd, 1967; Solo, Singh, Shefter \& Cooper, 1968) have been re-


Fig. 1. Atomic numbering for $3 \beta$-chloro- 5 -androsten-1 $7 \beta$-ol.

[^2]latively inaccurate because of the presence of very heavy atoms.

The second interesting feature of this molecule is that two steroid molecules are present in the asymmetric unit. The early crystallographic investigations of steroids by Bernal and his collaborators revealed that the presence of more than one molecule in the asymmetric unit is frequent among the sterols (Bernal, Crowfoot \& Fankuchen, 1940), and later X-ray studies have shown that this phenomenon occurs in other classes of steroids as well including the estrogens (Ohrt, Haner \& Norton, 1964), pregnanes (Haner \& Norton, 1963), and androstanes (Norton, Lu \& Campbell, 1962). Consequently, the presence of more than one molecule in the asymmetric unit of steroid crystal structures does not appear to be correlated in a simple way with any particular hydrocarbon skeleton or the nature and location of functional groups.

## Experimental

Slow evaporation of a solution of $3 \beta$-chloro-5-andro-sten-17 $\beta$-ol in methanol results in the formation of a crystalline $2: 1$ complex of the steroid with methanol. The systematic absences ( $0 k 0$ for $k$ odd) in the diffraction pattern of these crystals are consistent with the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1}$. The unit-cell dimensions were determined by a least-squares analysis of 35 independent measurements of $2 \theta$ for high angle data. The crystal data are:
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